

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office' Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/823,885	04/13/2004	George R. Krsek	KONEC 04.02	5661
7590 11/30/2006			EXAMINER	
Dale F. Regelman			CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE	
Law Office of Dale F. Regelman, P.C. 4231 S. Fremont Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tucson, AZ 8:	5714		1617	
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/30/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,885	KRSEK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renee Claytor	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-18 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.	
•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Everiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/12/2004.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 11/10/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-6 are being examined on their merits herein, and claims 7-18 are being withdrawn from consideration as they do not read on the elected group. The restriction requirement is deemed proper and made **FINAL**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kao et al. (U.S. PG-Pub 2003/0004177) in view of Mayer et al. (U.S. Patent 5,869,498).

Kao et al. teach pharmaceutical tablets containing opioid agonists, such as oxycodone (paragraph 0008 and 0018), in a matrix and an opioid antagonist in a separate matrix. The tablet is formulated as a controlled release in an effort to stop abuse of opioid agonists. It is taught that the two compositions are kept in separate matrixes, thus meeting the limitation of a bi-layer tablet in claim 1 (paragraph 0019).

Application/Control Number: 10/823,885

Art Unit: 1617

The tablets of the invention include microcrystalline cellulose and magnesium stearate (meeting the limitation of claim 6; Examples 1 and 2).

Kao et al. does not teach a composition comprised of oxycodone and dextromethorphan, or a composition that does not include an opioid antagonist.

Mayer et al. teaches drug combinations of analgesics, including oxycodone, and NMDA receptor antagonists (including dextromethorphan; Col. 3, line 56 and Col. 4, line 30). Mayer et al. teaches compounds that do not include opioid antagonists.

Furthermore, it is obvious to vary and/or optimize the amount of oxycodone and dextromethorphan provided in the composition, according to the guidance provided by Mayer et al., to provide a composition having the desired properties such as the desired concentrations and ratios of active agents to produce the maximal analgesic effect. It is noted that "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Kao et al., which teach bi-layer tablets comprised of opioid agonists and antagonists with the composition of Mayer et al., which teach pharmaceutical compositions comprised of oxycodone and dextromethorphan. Although Kao et al. teach a bi-layered tablet comprised of an opioid analgesic and an opioid antagonist (not claimed in the present invention), this reference is being used to teach that another drug can be added in a tablet with an opioid analgesic that will be beneficial in deterring abuse and dependence. One would have

Application/Control Number: 10/823,885

Art Unit: 1617

been motivated to employ the concept of formulating a bi-layered tablet comprised of oxycodone and dextromethorphan in the present composition by the teachings of Mayer et al. which teach that NMDA receptor antagonists enhance the efficacy of opioid analgesics permitting a reduction in the amount of analgesic needed, and further inhibiting the development of dependence.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Kao et al. (U.S. PG-Pub 2003/0004177) in view of Mayer et al. (U.S. Patent 5,869,498) as applied to claims 1, 3-4 and 6 above, and in further view of Magruder et al. (U.S. Patent 4,851,229).

The teachings of Kao et al. and Mayer et al. are discussed in the 35 USC 103 rejection above.

Kao et al. and Mayer et al. do not teach an aperture portion, the dosage of the active ingredients or polyvinylpyrrolidone.

Magruder et al. teach osmotic delivery systems that contain a passageway to connect the interior and exterior portion of the tablet (meeting the limitation of claim 2; Col. 9, lines 48-52 and Figures 5 and 6). The system further contains a polyvinylpyrollidone (meeting the limitation of claim 5; Exampe 5).

Accordingly it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Magruder et al., which teaches a passageway connecting the two portions of the tablet and a polyvinylpyrollidone with Kao et al and Mayer et al. One would be motivated to combine the teachings in order to

Art Unit: 1617

obtain a controlled release system that delivers drug at a controlled rate and at a controlled concentration.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,885

Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER